(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2012



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/12-14

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LVII വാലും 57

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

26th June 2012 2012 ജൂൺ 26 5th Ashadha 1934 1934 ആഷാഢം 5

No. നമ്പർ

26

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 734/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pandyan Estate, C.T. Tenmozhi Division, Wallardi, Peermade, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU) P. R. Centre, Vandiperiyar, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

- Whether the dismissal of Sri Suresh, worker of Pandyan Estate, C.T. Thenmozhi Division, Wallardi by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

G. O. (Rt.) No. 743/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, D.C. Press (P) Ltd., D.C. Kizhakemuri Idam, Goodshepherd Street, Kottayam-686 001 (2) The General Manager D.C. Press (P) Ltd., D.C. Kizhakemuri Idam, Goodshepherd Street, Kottayam-686 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, D.C. Press Workers Union (TUCI), TUCI District Committee Office, Kaumudi Building, Opposite PWD Office, T. B. Road, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the demand of the TUCI Union for the grant of 20% Bonus &10% Exgratia to the workers of D.C. Press (P) Ltd., Kottayam for the year 2010-2011 is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what is the percentage of Bonus & Exgratia payable to the workers?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 828/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar, Pulla Madathil, Mattom Vadak, Thattarambalam P. O., Mavelikkara and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Bharathiya Mazdoor Sangh (B.M.S.), Mavelikkara Meghala Samithi, Alappuzha District BMS Office, Mavelikkara-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Anoop, P. Ponnan, Cleaner in Bus No. KL.4-T- 1490, by the proprietrix Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 829/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar, Pulla Madathil, Mattom Vadak, Thattarambalam P. O., Mavelikkara and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Bharathiya Mazdoor Sangh (B.M.S.), Mavelikkara Meghala Samithi, Alappuzha District BMS Office, Mavelikkara-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. S. Rajasekharan Pillai in Bus No. Kl, 31-A-8332 of Smt. Sudha Vijayakumar is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 830/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. B. Sathi, Managing Partner, Hotel Sudarsan, Parameswar Nagar, Kollam -691 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Shops & Establishment Employees Union (INTUC), Mundakkal, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Rajappan Pillai, Security with effect from 27-6-2011 by the management of Hotel Sudarsan, Kollam is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 831/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The President, Kunnappilly Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham Ltd. No. K. 73 (D), Apcos, Peruva P. O., Kottayam-686 610 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Co-operative Employees Union (CITU), Area Committee, Kaduthuruthi, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the dismissal from employment of Sri A. N. Balakrishna Pillai, Secretary, Kunnappilly Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham Ltd. No. K. 73 (D), Peruva P. O., Kottayam by the management is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

A. Jumaila Beevi,
Under Secretary to Government.